# Pupil premium strategy statement – Ewanrigg Junior School

Before completing this template, read the Education Endowment Foundation's guidance on <u>using your pupil premium funding effectively</u> and DfE's <u>using pupil premium guidance</u>, which includes the 'menu of approaches'. It is for school leaders to decide what activity to spend their pupil premium (and recovery premium) on, within the framework set out by the menu.

All schools that receive pupil premium (and recovery premium) are required to use this template to complete and publish a pupil premium statement on their school website by 31 December every academic year.

If you are starting a new pupil premium strategy plan, use this blank template. If you are continuing a strategy plan from last academic year, you may prefer to edit your existing statement, if that version was published using the template.

Before publishing your completed statement, delete the instructions (text in italics) in this template, and this text box.

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the outcomes for disadvantaged pupils last academic year.

### **School overview**

| Detail  | Data                                     |
|---|--|
| Number of pupils in school  | 129                                      |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils   | 73/122 60%                               |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended – you must still publish an updated statement each academic year) | 3 years - 2022/2023 to 2024/2025         |
| Date this statement was published   | December 2022                            |
| Date on which it will be reviewed   | July 2024                                |
| Statement authorised by   | Shelley McGlasson Acting Head Teacher    |
| Pupil premium lead  | Shelley McGlasson<br>Acting Head Teacher |

| Governor / Trustee lead | Yvonne Frost |
|-------------------------|--------------|
|-------------------------|--------------|

# **Funding overview**

| Detail  | Amount                  |
|---|-------------------------|
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year   | £107, 220               |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year Recovery premium received in academic year 2023/24 cannot be carried forward beyond August 31, 2024. |                         |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)  | £0                      |
| Total budget for this academic year   | £107, 220               |
| If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year                               | Service children – £960 |

## Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

### Statement of intent

Our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good or better personal and academic progress and achieve high attainment across all subject areas. The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high attainers.

We will consider the challenges faced by our vulnerable pupils, such as those who have vulnerable parents and families, those with a social worker, those who are young carers, the bereaved, those struggling financially and those with social and emotional mental health issues. We will also consider the needs of those who face digital poverty and lack of digital access and support with home learning. We will also consider the complex needs of our super deprived children including those with a combination of SEMH needs, SEND, attendance issues and safeguarding issues. The activities we have outlined in this statement is also intended to support the needs of our children, regardless of whether they are termed disadvantaged or not.

High-quality teaching is at the heart of our approach, with a focus on areas in which disadvantaged pupils require the most support. This is proven to have the greatest impact on closing the disadvantage attainment gap and at the same time will benefit the non-disadvantaged pupils in our school. Implicit in the intended outcomes detailed below, is the intention that non-disadvantaged pupils' attainment will be sustained and improved alongside progress for their disadvantaged peers.

Our strategy is also integral to wider school plans for education recovery, notably in its targeted support through the School Led Tutoring Programme and the WELL programme for pupils whose education has been worst affected, including non-disadvantaged pupils.

Our approach will be responsive to common challenges and individual needs, rooted in robust diagnostic assessment, not assumptions about the impact of disadvantage. The approaches we have adopted complement each other to help pupils excel. To ensure they are effective we will:

- ensure disadvantaged pupils are challenged in the work that they're set
- act early to intervene at the point need is identified
- adopt a whole school approach in which all staff take responsibility for disadvantaged pupils' outcomes and raise expectations of what they can achieve

# Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

| Challenge number | Detail of challenge   |
|------------------|---|
| 1                | Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils indicate under developed oral language skills and vocabulary gaps among many disadvantaged pupils. These are evident throughout KS2 and in general, are more prevalent among our disadvantaged pupils than their peers. Due to Covid lockdowns we have observed that the gap between chronological age- and age-related expectations has widened, especially technical vocabulary in maths, grammar and reading.   |
| 2                | Assessments, observations, and discussions with pupils suggest pupils generally have greater difficulties with phonics than their peers. This negatively impacts their development as readers.  |
| 3                | External assessments indicate that maths attainment amongst disadvantaged pupils is significantly below that of non-disadvantaged pupils 21/22 Key Stage 2 there was a differential of 11%. Reading attainment amongst disadvantaged pupils is significantly below that of non-disadvantaged pupils 21/22 Key Stage 2 there was a differential of 6%.   |
|                  | 22-23 trends changed. In reading - attainment for our PP children was 55% compared to 42% for non-pupil premium. A differential of 13%. In maths non-pupil premium 42% expected or above and 60% PP. A differential of 18%. This would suggest that the PP funding has had a significant impact on our PP children.   |
| 4                | Our assessments and observations indicate that the education and well-<br>being of many of our disadvantaged pupils have been impacted by partial<br>school closures to a greater extent than for other pupils. These findings<br>are supported by national studies.  |
|                  | This has resulted in significant resilience, stamina, personal and knowledge gaps leading to pupils falling further behind age-related expectations, especially in maths.   |
| 5                | Our assessments, observations and discussions with pupils and families have identified, due to lockdowns, social and emotional issues for many pupils, notably due to a lack of the social and emotional support usually given by school and other services. Children have also missed out on our many enrichment activities and real-life experiences such as visits, and the arts. Our children have particularly missed out on sport and physical exercise and nutritional food leaving some with far less healthy lifestyles since lockdown. These challenges particularly affect disadvantaged pupils, including their attainment. |
|                  | There were 30/133 (23%) referrals to outside agencies during 2021 – 2022. 21% are disadvantaged children and 2% of those are non-disadvantaged children. 43/133 (32%) children required additional  |

|   | support with social and emotional needs, 25% are disadvantaged children and 7% are non-disadvantaged children.             |
|---|--|
| 6 | Our attendance data over the last 3 years states that in:  |
|   | 2019 – 2020 non-disadvantaged was 3% higher.   |
|   | 2020-2021 where non-disadvantaged was also 3% higher.  |
|   | 2021-2022 where non-disadvantaged was 5% higher.   |
|   | Compared to 2022-2023 where Disadvantaged pupils were 1% higher  |
|   | Persistent absences were at the highest between 22-23 due to COVID and other illnesses where children had to stay at home. |
|   |  |

### **Intended outcomes**

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

| Intended outcome   | Success criteria  |
|--|---|
| Improved vocabulary among disadvantaged pupils.  | Assessments and observations indicate significantly improved vocabulary with a closer correlation between attainment and age expected expectations or better among disadvantaged pupils. This is evident when triangulated with other sources of evidence, including engagement in lessons, book scrutiny and ongoing formative assessment. |
| Improved reading attainment among disadvantaged pupils.  | KS2 reading outcomes in 2024/25 - 70% of disadvantaged pupils to meet the expected or higher standard.  |
| Improved maths attainment for disadvantaged pupils at the end of KS2.  | KS2 maths outcomes in 2024/25 - 70% of disadvantaged pupils to meet the expected or higher standard.  |
| To achieve and sustain improved wellbeing for all pupils in our school, particularly our disadvantaged pupils. | Sustained high levels of wellbeing from 2024/25 demonstrated by:  • qualitative data from student voice, student and parent surveys and teacher observations  |
|  | <ul> <li>a significant increase in participation<br/>in enrichment activities, particularly<br/>among disadvantaged pupils</li> </ul>   |

|   | increased staff development in rela-<br>tion to social and mental health needs<br>and associated intervention training  |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | increased physical activity and understanding of the importance of having a healthy lifestyle   |  |
| To achieve and sustain improved attendance for all pupils, particularly our disadvantaged pupils. | <ul> <li>Attendance for disadvantaged pupils is following an upward trend. However, persistence absences are high.</li> <li>Our overall attendance to be inline or higher than national.</li> <li>The percentage of all pupils who are persistently absent being below 10% and the figure among disadvantaged pupils being no more than 5% lower</li> </ul> |  |

## **Activity in this academic year**

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

### **Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)**

Budgeted cost: £ £39, 851

| Activity  | Evidence that supports this approach  | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| Purchase of standardised diagnostic assessments.  Training for staff to ensure assessments are interpreted and administered correctly.  IDL English and Maths, GLK screener, TESTBASE, TT ROCKSTARS, BIG MATHS, LITTLE WONDLE | Standardised tests can provide reliable insights into the specific strengths and weaknesses of each pupil to help ensure they receive the correct additional support through interventions or teacher instruction:  Standardised tests   Assessing and Monitoring Pupil Progress   Education Endowment Foundation   EEF | 1, 2, 3, 4                          |

| Enhancement of our maths teaching and curriculum planning in line with DfE and EEF guidance.  We will fund teacher release time to embed key elements of guidance in school and to access Maths Hub resources and CPD (including Teaching for Mastery training). | The DfE non-statutory guidance has been produced in conjunction with the National Centre for Excellence in the Teaching of Mathematics, drawing on evidence-based approaches:  Maths guidance KS 1 and 2.pdf (publishing.service.gov.uk)  The EEF guidance is based on a range of the best available evidence:  Improving Mathematics in Key Stages 2 and 3 | 3       |
|--|---|---------|
| Improve the quality of social and emotional (SEL) learning.  SEL approaches will be embedded into routine educational practices and supported by professional development and training for staff.  | There is extensive evidence associating childhood social and emotional skills with improved outcomes at school and in later life (e.g., improved academic performance, attitudes, behaviour and relationships with peers):  EEF_Social_and_Emotional_Learning.pdf(educationendowmentfoundation.or g.uk)   | 4 and 5 |
| Self-regulations and meta-<br>cognition.   | The average impact of metacognition and self-regulation strategies is an additional seven months. During 23-24 we will access the training and  |         |
| FREE Before school club and<br>After school clubs – food,<br>milk and bagels (funding from<br>elsewhere for food)  | The average impact of approaches involving extending school time is about an additional 3 months'. Progress over a year. MASLOW's theory.   |         |

# Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support, structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £ £26, 000

| Activity   | Evidence that supports this approach  | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Purchase of a programme to improve listening, narrative and vocabulary skills for disadvantaged pupils | Oral language interventions can have a positive impact on pupils' language skills. Approaches that focus on speaking, listening and a combination of the two show positive impacts on attainment: | 4, 5                                |

| who have relatively low spoken language skills.  | Oral language interventions   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)   |   |
|--|---|---|
| Little Wandle phonics sessions targeted at disadvantaged pupils who require further phonics support.  Collaboration with our local English hub. Also liaise with the Local Authority consultant Rachel Laverack. | Phonics approaches have a strong evidence base indicating a positive impact on pupils, particularly from disadvantaged backgrounds. Targeted phonics interventions have been shown to be more effective when delivered as regular sessions over a period up to 12 weeks:  Phonics   Toolkit Strand   Education   Endowment Foundation   EEF | 2 |

# Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)

Budgeted cost: £40, 720

| Activity   | Evidence that supports this approach  | Challenge<br>number(s)<br>addressed |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Behaviour Lead studying NPQ BL and then whole staff training on how to promote self regulation and relationships management, with the aim of further improving emotional stability and self regulation across school.                | Both targeted interventions and universal approaches can have positive overall effects:  Behaviour interventions   EEF (educationendowmentfoundatio n.org.uk) | 5                                   |
| Emotional/well being and behavioural interventions to run. To allow our children to be 'ready to learn'.   | A high proportion of our children are not ready to learn. MASLOWS theory.   |                                     |
| Draw and talk, Talk time, Off load,<br>Links to family action – decider skills,<br>Lego therapy, ELSA, Kid safe,NADT<br>– alternative provision, CPOMS to<br>be used to ensure we identify the<br>correct children creating reports. |   |                                     |
| Mental Health Lead to continue.  | He average impact of the parental engagement approaches is about an additional 4 months' progress over the course of a year.                                  |                                     |

| Attendance Lead - Embedding principles of good practice set out in the DfE's Improving School Attendance advice.  This will involve training and release time for staff to develop and implement new procedures and appointing a pastoral lead attendance/support officers to improve attendance.  Attendance Hub – enquire and ask advice about applying to be an attendance hub school. | The DfE guidance has been informed by engagement with schools that have significantly reduced levels of absence and persistent absence.  He average impact of the parental engagement approaches is about an additional 4 months' progress over the course of a year.                             | 5, 6 |
|---|---|------|
| Contingency fund for other issues.  | Based on our experiences and those of similar schools to ours, we have identified a need to set a small amount of funding aside to respond quickly to needs that have not yet been identified, up to and including extra support staff to ensure interventions still occur during staff absences. | All  |

Total budgeted cost: £ [insert sum of 3 amounts stated above]

### Part B: Review of the previous academic year

### **Outcomes for disadvantaged pupils**

Our assessments during 2022 – 2023 demonstrate that in certain subjects our disadvantaged pupils performed higher than non-disadvantaged.

- In reading disadvantaged pupils performed 7% higher than non-disadvantaged pupils.
- In maths disadvantaged pupils performed 18% higher than non-disadvantaged pupils.
- In SPAG disadvantaged pupils performed 12% higher than non-disadvantaged pupils.

#### However,

 In Writing disadvantaged pupils performed 12% lower than non-disadvantaged pupils.

In three areas of the curriculum disadvantaged pupils performed better than non-disadvantaged pupils. As well as closing the gap from the previous year, disadvantaged children have performed better than non-disadvantaged which demonstrates the pupil strategy has made a significant impact.

- In reading our disadvantage children were 14% higher than national disadvantaged.
- In writing our disadvantage children were 10% higher than national disadvantaged.
- In maths our disadvantage children were 9% higher than national disadvantaged.
- In SPAG our disadvantage children were -1% lower than national disadvantaged.

The above results demonstrate how the pupil premium strategy has impacted our disadvantaged children. Overall attendance in 2022/23 was in line with the national average. Our assessments and observations indicated that pupil emotional stability, wellbeing and mental health were significantly impacted due to COVID-19-related issues. The impact was particularly acute for disadvantaged pupils who did not engage well with home learning or the National Tutoring Programme. We used pupil premium funding to provide wellbeing support for all pupils, and targeted interventions where required. We are building on that approach with the activities detailed in this plan. During 2022-2023 attendance was 2% higher than the previous year at EJS and 0.81% higher than national average, standing at 93.31% overall. PP attendance was 93.85%, non PP was 92.94% - with a differential of 0.91%. This shows that our Disadvantaged attendance was better than our non-disadvantaged children.

## **Externally provided programmes**

Please include the names of any non-DfE programmes that you used your pupil premium (or recovery premium) to fund in the previous academic year.

| Programme               | Provider            |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| IDL – English and maths | IDL                 |
| Cpoms                   | Raptor Technologies |
| Dyslexia screener       | IDL                 |
| TTRockstar              | Maths Circle        |
| Big Maths               | Andrell Education   |

## funding

| Measure  | Details   |
|--|---|
| How did you spend your service pupil premium allocation last academic year?    | We deployed part of the Mental Health Lead hours to provide support for emotional wellbeing and academic achievement of service children.                           |
|  | Extra tuition has been provided.  |
| What was the impact of that spending on service pupil premium eligible pupils? | Teachers observed improvements in wellbeing amongst service children. Assessments demonstrated progress in subject areas where extra support classes were provided. |

### **Further information (optional)**

#### **Additional activity**

Our pupil premium strategy will be supplemented by additional activity that is not being funded by pupil premium or recovery premium. That will include:

- embedding more effective practice around feedback. <u>EEF evidence</u> demonstrates this has significant benefits for pupils, particularly disadvantaged pupils.
- utilising a <u>DfE grant to train a senior mental health lead</u>. The training we have selected will focus on the training needs identified through the online tool: to develop our understanding of our pupils' needs, give pupils a voice in how we address wellbeing, and support more effective collaboration with parents.
- offering a wide range of high-quality extracurricular activities to boost wellbeing, behaviour, attendance, and aspiration. Activities will focus on building life skills such as confidence, resilience, and socialising. Disadvantaged pupils will be encouraged and supported to participate.

#### Planning, implementation, and evaluation

In planning our new pupil premium strategy, we evaluated why activity undertaken in previous years had not had the degree of impact that we had expected. We also commissioned a pupil premium review to get an external perspective.

We triangulated evidence from multiple sources of data including assessments, engagement in class book scrutiny, conversations with parents, students and teachers in order to identify the challenges faced by disadvantaged pupils. We also used the EEF's families of schools database to view the performance of disadvantaged pupils in schools similar to ours and contacted schools with high-performing disadvantaged pupils to learn from their approach.

We looked at a number of reports, studies and research papers about effective use of pupil premium, the impact of disadvantage on education outcomes and how to address challenges to learning presented by socio-economic disadvantage. We also looked at studies about the impact of the pandemic on disadvantaged pupils.

We used the <u>EEF's implementation guidance</u> to help us develop our strategy, particularly the 'explore' phase to help us diagnose specific pupil needs and work out which activities and approaches are likely to work in our school. We will continue to use it through the implementation of activities.

We have put a robust evaluation framework in place for the duration of our three-year approach and will adjust our plan over time to secure better outcomes for pupils.